IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH AT HYDERABAD

HON'BLE Mr. JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY

Writ Petition Nos.25474 and 25475 of 2006

Dated: December 08, 2006

Writ Petition No.25474 of 2006

Between:

P. Kareem Basha, S/o. Hussain, aged 28 years,
Working as Sub-staff in Andhra Bank at
Chirala Branch, Prakasam District.

… Petitioner

And

The Branch Manager, Andhra Bank, Chirala
Branch, Prakasam District, and another.

… Respondents

Writ Petition No.25475 of 2006

Between:

Syed Sajid Ali, S/o. Dawood Ali, aged 28 years,
Working as Sub-staff in Andhra Bank at
Guravajipeta Branch, Prakasam District.

… Petitioner

And

The Branch Manager, Andhra Bank, Guravajipeta

Branch, Prakasam District, and another.

… Respondents

Common order:

In these cases, as common questions of fact and law arise for consideration they are heard together and are being disposed of by this common order.

2. These two Writ Petitions have been filed seeking Mandamus to declare the action of the respondents in discontinuing the services of the petitioners as sub-staff in Andhra Bank as illegal and arbitrary.

3. Petitioners who studied upto 7th class and appointed for some time as sub-staff in Andhra Bank claim that they have been working in Chirala Branch and Guravajipeta Branch respectively since 1997. Their grievance is that though have been working since 1997, respondents are intending to terminate their services without assigning any valid reason and are proposing to appoint some other persons on temporary basis in their place.

4. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Standing Counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents.

5. Learned Standing Counsel, on instructions, submits that respondents have prepared a scheme whereby a panel of employees working on temporary basis was prepared having regard to the length of their services. He further submits that the employees who fit into the said scheme are being appointed and petitioners do not figure in the

aforesaid panel.

6. It is to be seen that, petitioners were not appointed against any regular vacancies by a selection committee by following regular procedure. They were only appointed on temporary basis and have worked intermittently. As much as they were appointed on temporary basis, they do not have any right to claim continuance. In the absence of the petitioners being appointed against regular posts, by following regular procedure, no direction as sought can be issued.

7. The Writ petitions are devoid of merits and are accordingly dismissed. No order as to costs.

_____

JUSTICE R. SUBHASH REDDY.

December 08, 2006
MRR